The Education System in Ancient India: Philosophy, Pedagogy, and Practice

Aadil Nazir Bhat[1], Shahid Javaid[2]

[1] Research Scholar, Department of History, Jiwaji University, Gwalior, M.P.
[2] Research Scholar, Department of History, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar, Tamil Nadu.

∗ Corresponding Author:

Aadil Nazir Bhat
aadilhistory57@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

OPEN ACCESS

ABSTRACT

The study explores the multifaceted education system of ancient India, emphasizing its deep roots in philosophical traditions such as Vedanta, Samkhya, Buddhism, and Jainism. Unlike modern systems focused primarily on vocational outcomes, ancient Indian education aimed at holistic human development, self-realization, and ethical living. The study examines pedagogical methods including oral transmission, experiential learning, debate (shastrarth), and the guru-shishya (teacher-disciple) model. Institutional structures like Gurukulas, Viharas, and universities such as Nalanda and Takshashila are analyzed for their rigorous curricula, teacher qualifications, and discipline. The paper also investigates the roles of religion, caste, and gender in shaping access to education, acknowledging both the inclusivity of Buddhist institutions and the exclusionary practices of Vedic traditions. Finally, it assesses the relevance of ancient Indian educational values in modern contexts, advocating for their integration into contemporary systems to foster ethical reasoning, personalized learning, and holistic development. This synthesis offers valuable insights for reimagining education in today's value-deficient global landscape.

INTRODUCTION

The education system of ancient India was a profound and holistic framework rooted in spiritual, philosophical, and ethical principles that extended far beyond the acquisition of knowledge. It was not merely a tool for social mobility or professional advancement but a sacred journey aimed at self-realization (moksha), inner discipline, and harmony with the universe. Central to this system were the philosophical foundations laid by schools such as Vedanta, Samkhya, Buddhism, and Jainism, each offering unique perspectives on knowledge, existence, and the purpose of learning.[1] Education was integrally linked to values such as truth (satya), non-violence (ahimsa), self-restraint, and devotion to duty (dharma), and was transmitted through pedagogical models like oral recitation, dialogue, experiential learning, and the revered guru-shishya tradition. Institutions such as Gurukulas, Buddhist Viharas, and renowned universities like Nalanda and Takshashila served as epicenters of learning, catering to both spiritual and secular subjects. However, access to education was deeply influenced by caste, gender, and religious affiliations, revealing both the strengths and limitations of the system. In today's era of fragmented and utilitarian education, revisiting the ancient Indian model offers valuable insights into learner-centered, values-based education that nurtures the mind, body, and spirit in unison.

Research Objectives:

1. To explore the philosophical foundations of ancient Indian education, focusing on schools such as Vedanta, Samkhya, Buddhism, and Jainism.
2. To examine the pedagogical methods employed in ancient India, including oral transmission, debate (shastrarth), experiential learning, and the guru-shishya model.
3. To analyze the institutional structures of education in ancient India, including Gurukulas, Viharas, and universities like Nalanda and Takshashila.
4. To investigate the influence of religion, caste, and gender on access to education and knowledge transmission in ancient Indian society.
5. To assess the contemporary relevance and applicability of ancient Indian educational principles in modern pedagogical practices.

Methodology

This study employs a qualitative, interpretive methodology based on historical and textual analysis. Primary and secondary sources—including ancient scriptures, philosophical texts, and scholarly interpretations—are critically examined to understand the foundations, practices, and socio-cultural dimensions of ancient Indian education. The research adopts a thematic approach, organizing findings around core elements such as philosophy, pedagogy, institutional structures, and their relevance to contemporary education.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The education system of ancient India was deeply embedded in its philosophical traditions, which shaped its content, objectives, pedagogy, and societal role. Unlike modern education systems that often separate knowledge acquisition from ethical and spiritual development, ancient Indian education pursued a holistic aim—one that sought the liberation of the soul (moksha), self-realization, and harmony with the cosmos. The major schools of Indian philosophy—Vedanta, Samkhya, Buddhism, and Jainism—not only offered metaphysical and epistemological frameworks but also provided moral and intellectual guidance to learners and educators alike. Understanding the

Historical Research: Journal of History and Archaeology
ISSN: 2583-9764
Vol. 02, No. 01, Jan-Mar, 2024
www.hrjha.lexarcheus.com

philosophical underpinnings of these traditions offers a comprehensive lens through which we can appreciate the complexity, depth, and purpose of ancient Indian education.[2]

The Vedanta school, particularly rooted in the teachings of the Upanishads, represents one of the most profound philosophical bases for ancient Indian education. Vedanta, meaning "end of the Vedas," focuses on the ultimate unity of the individual soul (Atman) with the universal soul (Brahman). This school emphasizes self-inquiry (atma-vichara) and the pursuit of true knowledge (vidya) as the path to liberation. Education, in the Vedantic view, is not merely a means of social or economic mobility but a sacred process of inner transformation. The ultimate goal is self-realization—the direct experiential understanding that one's true nature is divine and eternal.[3]

This objective of self-realization was reflected in the pedagogical environment of the Gurukula system, where education was imparted in close proximity to a teacher (guru), often in forest hermitages or secluded ashrams. The role of the guru in Vedantic education was paramount, as the teacher was not just a transmitter of knowledge but a spiritual guide who led the student (shishya) toward enlightenment. Instruction was dialogical, often taking the form of questions and answers, reflections, and contemplation. Recitation, memorization, and deep meditative absorption of scriptural truths (shravanam, mananam, and nididhyasanam) were essential parts of the educational process. The philosophical belief that the world is ultimately an illusion (maya) and that only Brahman is real encouraged students to detach from worldly distractions and focus on spiritual discipline, ethics, and mental purity.[4]

Samkhya, another influential philosophical tradition attributed to the sage Kapila, offered a dualistic framework that also significantly shaped educational perspectives. Unlike Vedanta, which posits a non-dual reality, Samkhya distinguishes between two fundamental entities: Purusha (consciousness) and Prakriti (matter). According to Samkhya philosophy, ignorance (avidya) arises from the failure to discriminate between the eternal Purusha and the transient Prakriti. Therefore, education is the process through which one develops discernment (viveka) to distinguish the self from the non-self.

In practical terms, this meant that education in the Samkhya tradition emphasized introspection, logic, analysis, and categorization. The Samkhya system elaborated on 25 principles (tattvas), starting from the unmanifest (avyakta) to the most gross elements of the physical world, aiming to enable learners to understand the structure of existence and their own inner constitution. Mental discipline, control of the senses (indriya-nigraha), and rational inquiry were key pedagogical tools. By fostering intellectual clarity and emotional detachment, Samkhya-based education aimed to free the individual from suffering and the cycle of rebirth (samsara). Although it was a philosophical school and not a religious doctrine, Samkhya's influence can be seen in both spiritual and secular education, particularly in Ayurveda, Yoga, and logical reasoning practices.[5]

Buddhism, founded by Siddhartha Gautama (the Buddha) in the 6th century BCE, brought a revolutionary shift in the educational paradigm of ancient India by democratizing access to learning and emphasizing ethical conduct, mindfulness, and critical inquiry. The Buddha rejected the authority of the Vedas and the caste-based restrictions that limited educational opportunities to a privileged few. Instead, he promoted an education that was available to all, regardless of birth or social status, provided they were committed to a life of discipline and moral rectitude.

At the heart of Buddhist education lay the Four Noble Truths and the Eightfold Path, which constituted both the curriculum and the ethical framework. The cultivation of wisdom (prajña), ethical conduct (shila), and mental discipline (samadhi) were considered essential for enlightenment (nirvana). Monasteries (viharas) and later large universities like Nalanda and Vikramashila became centers of Buddhist learning where subjects such as logic (hetu-vidya), grammar (shabda-vidya), medicine (vaidya-vidya), and metaphysics were taught. The educational method relied heavily on debate, discourse, and personal introspection. Memorization of texts such as the Tripitaka, analytical meditation (vipassana), and open discussions encouraged learners to question, reflect, and internalize knowledge.[6]

One of the distinctive features of Buddhist education was its emphasis on compassion, detachment, and the middle path—avoiding both ascetic extremes and indulgent lifestyles. The egalitarian and rational approach of Buddhism attracted learners across Asia and established a legacy of scholasticism that extended from India to Tibet, China, Korea, and Japan. Through its emphasis on empirical observation, psychological insight, and ethical living, Buddhist education offered a transformative model that shaped both monastic and lay learning communities.

With its focus on non-violence (ahimsa), truth (satya), and ascetic discipline (tapas), Jainism—founded by Mahavira—also created a major intellectual basis for ancient Indian education. Grounded on a pluralistic understanding of reality known as Anekantavada, Jain philosophy holds that truth is complex and that no one viewpoint can fully represent ultimate reality. This epistemic humility turned into an educational strategy that respected intellectual modesty, tolerance of many points of view, and discussion. Unlike the compartmentalised and standardised institutions of the contemporary age, ancient India's educational system was firmly anchored in a holistic and value-based concept of knowledge transmission. It was about the development of character, the search of truth, and the realisation of self as much as about the dissemination of factual knowledge. Designed to foster not just intellectual sharpness but also spiritual maturity and ethical sensitivity, pedagogical approaches were created with philosophical depth and cultural purpose. With an eye towards oral transmission, the practice of argument (shastrarth), experiential learning, and the fundamental guru-shishya (teacher-disciple) paradigm, this article investigates the fundamental pedagogical practices that defined the ancient Indian educational system. 07 Oral transmission—a technique that dominated written materials for millennia—was one of the most distinctive traits of ancient Indian education. This was particularly clear in the transmission of Vedic knowledge, where the force of proper enunciation and the holiness of sound (shabda) took front stage. Vedic hymns were thought to have spiritual power, hence the proper pronunciation of every word was seen to be crucial for their effectiveness. Oral learning therefore was about developing attentive hearing (shravanam), profound thought (mananam), and internalisation via repetition (abhyasa), not just about memorisation. With great accuracy, students committed large volumes of knowledge—including the Vedas, Upanishads, sutras, and epic works like the Mahabharata and Ramayana—to memory.[7]

Some quite sophisticated mnemonic strategies emerged from the emphasis on oral tradition. Students locked the books into their memory by using krama, jata, and ghana—among other recitation techniques. This intensive learning path spanning several years let students develop their deeper philosophical ideas in addition to grasp the literal meanings of the books. Learning was a continual engagement with information integrated into daily living, not limited by defined hours or rigid

curriculum; most students lived with their professors in hermitages or gurukulas. Scholars with amazing retention and understanding skills came from this rich oral culture, which gave great emphasis on aural learning, recall, and intimate discourse.[8]

Along with the oral legacy, there existed the vibrant shastrarth—organized philosophical and biblical debating practice. These arguments were about a recognised teaching approach that sharpened reasoning, tested knowledge, and expanded ideas, not just about intellectual competition. The name "shastrarth" corresponds to the aim (artha) of the scriptures (shastra), and these discussions sought to expose the actual meanings and uses of the books. Often in formal environments like assemblies (sabhas) and academic meetings at prestigious institutions like Nalanda and Takshashila, students and professors participated in dialectical debates involving metaphysics, ethics, language, logic, and epistemology. In traditional Indian learning, argument is very vital. It promoted critical thinking, let students value many points of view, and strengthened the belief that knowledge is flexible and subject to continuous interpretation. In Buddhist and Nyaya traditions, where reason (anumana) and logic (tarka) were highly polished, this approach was especially common. Students were advised to challenge opposing points of view (purvapaksha) and provide well-founded counterarguments (uttarapaksha), therefore greatly enhancing the dialogical aspect of their education.[9]

Based on the student's aptitude (adhikara), maturity, and character, the guru delivered information gradually changing the speed and level of teaching. Learning was unique; the guru offered inspiration, personal direction, and corrections. Reiterating virtues like humility, discipline, and dedication to truth, this approach helped to create a strong emotional and ethical link between instructor and student. The guru also lived a life of simplicity, detachment, and service that disciples were urged to replicate, therefore embodying the unification of theory and practice. Education was therefore an apprenticeship in both knowledge and life, where students learnt not just texts and skills but also how to live morally and help society. The guru-shishya system also guaranteed that education remained a holy process strongly connected with initiation ceremonies (upanayana), vows of chastity (brahmacharya), and rites of passage. It emphasised the view that knowledge was a gift to be appreciated and responsible rather than a commodity to be purchased or sold. This holiness also obligated the shishya morally to use knowledge for the benefit of society rather than for personal advantage or exploitation.[10]

Though these teaching approaches exude idealism, it is crucial to recognise that the system was not without constraints. Particularly in the Vedic and Brahmanical traditions, caste, gender, and financial level frequently determined access to education. Although Buddhist and Jain institutions were more welcoming, patriarchal and hierarchical restrictions continued in many educational environments. Though few examples of female knowledge were shown by women's access to formal education, Gargi, Maitreyi, and later Buddhist nuns showed the possibilities. Though they found greater chances in Buddhist and folk education systems, lower-caste people were nevertheless routinely rejected from Vedic instruction. Still, ancient India left a great educational heritage. As shown by current rote learning and recitation, the focus on oral mastery has affected Indian educational approaches for millennia. In Indian intellectual traditions, the culture of discussion set early groundwork for democratic dialogue and pluralism. Traditional arts and crafts, yoga instruction, and vocational training all still rely on experiential learning. Most lasting, maybe, is the guru-shishya concept, which still permeates classical music, dance, martial arts, and spiritual lines of descent.

Historical Research: Journal of History and Archaeology
ISSN: 2583-9764
Vol. 02, No. 01, Jan-Mar, 2024
www.hrjha.lexarcheus.com

These age-old techniques have great lessons to teach modern schooling. Oral communication reminds us in this day of digital distraction of the need of careful listening and recall. Important in polarised society, debate promotes critical thinking and tolerance for many points of view. Experiential learning addresses the need for practical, real-world knowledge beyond textbooks. Furthermore motivating a reevaluation of teacher-student interactions as cooperative, ethical, and transforming is the guru-shishya paradigm.

Institutional Structures of Ancient Indian Education: Gurukulas, Viharas, and Universities

Supported by well-run institutions and well anchored in intellectual traditions, ancient India's educational system was remarkably sophisticated for its time. Among them were the Gurukulas, Viharas, and esteemed colleges such Nalanda and Takshashila, each of which contribute in different ways to preserve culture and disseminate knowledge. These learning centres were dynamic settings combining intellectual, ethical, spiritual, and social instruction, not just classrooms. The success of these schools resulted from their well-rounded curriculum, high standards for professors, and disciplined behaviour required of students, not alone from their outstanding buildings or administration. Their teaching strategies and organisation help us to learn important lessons about the educational successes of ancient India as well as about the wider cultural setting in which they developed. Among India's most well-known and ancient educational systems is the Gurukula one. Describing a residential school situated in the instructor's house or hermitage, the Sanskrit terms "guru" (teacher) and "kula," (family or household) define the phrase. Common in rural and semi-rural regions, this method was intimately related to the Vedic heritage. Often until they were adults or masters of the disciplines being taught, students—known as shishyas—would leave their families to live with their gurus for many years. The Gurukula was a caring atmosphere where pupils acquired information by intimate engagement with their professors, thereby included not only in daily duties, spiritual practices, and communal life but also in intellectual study. Emphasising the recitation and memorisation of the Vedas, the Gurukula curriculum was tightly entwined with the Vedic books. It also encompassed allied disciplines known as the Vedangas, which addressed issues like phonetics (Shiksha), grammar (Vyakarana), rites (Kalpa), etymology (Nirukta), metrics (Chandas), and astronomy (Jyotisha). The curriculum grew throughout time to include law (Dharmaśāstra), darshanas (philosophical systems), itihasas (epic stories such as the Mahabharata and Ramayana), and even mathematics. Education sought to inculcate dharma—moral and noble behavior—promote self-discipline, and equip students for both their earthly and spiritual obligations, not just about theory. With classes tailored to match each student's interests and aptitudes, teaching strategies ranged from recitation to debates, introspection to hands-on exercises.[11]

Within this educational context, the guru was very vital and highly regarded. A teacher performed a spiritual mentoring and moral role modelling in addition to being a knowledge keeper. A guru's credentials beyond simple intellectual ability; they also required moral qualities like patience, humility, celibacy, sincerity, and detachment. Their goal was to mould pupils spiritually and intellectually so that they developed into informed, moral people prepared to benefit society. Respect, trust, and great emotional ties defined the holy and long-lasting relationship between the guru and shishya. Every student's development was evaluated separately, therefore customising and optimising the educational process.[12]

Within the Gurukula, student discipline was rigorous and exhaustive. Known as brahmacharis, the students were supposed to live according to the values of the brahmacharya ashrama—a life stage defined by celibacy, self-control, obedience, simplicity, and a strong desire to study. Their daily schedules included rising early, washing, praying, learning, and assisting with chores included getting water or gathering firewood. This mix of education and service (seva) encouraged social responsibility, physical toughness, and humility as well as physical strength. Whether it was dishonesty, arrogance, or sloth, any misbehaviour was seen as detrimental to the educational process and just unacceptable. Though it was austere, life at a Gurukula was vital in forming character and getting pupils ready for jobs as housekeepers, professors, or householders. Buddhist popularity allowed educational institutions to bloom, bringing Viharas—monastic centres devoted to study and spiritual development. These sites developed into disciplined academic institutions open to a larger public, more orderly and easily accessible than Gurukulas. Viharas originally functioned as monastic residences, but over time they became energetic hubs of knowledge where monks taught, wrote, and discussed. Originally emphasising Buddhist books—the Tripitaka, commentaries, and Vinaya rules—the curriculum finally expanded to include grammar, logic, epistemology, metaphysics, Ayurveda, arts, and linguistics. Viharas' curriculum reflected Buddhist dedication to logical investigation and moral life. Particularly the Four Noble Truths, the Eightfold Path, and the idea of dependent origination (pratityasamutpada), students studied closely the teachings of the Buddha. These lessons were examined, argued over, and really absorbed rather than merely memorised. Designed to resolve doctrinal disagreements and improve interpretive clarity, advanced pupils engaged in dialectical exercises called Vada or Kathavathu. Viharas became cosmopolitan hubs of learning by include secular themes, therefore bridging the gap between spiritual and worldly knowledge.[13]

Viharas had no less strict teacher credentials than Gurukulas. Teachers had to show mastery of canonical books, show contemplative success, and lead lives of moral rectitude. Beyond instruction, the acharya—or senior monk—served as a custodian of both doctrinal integrity and communal discipline. Lectures (vyakhyana), conversations, guided meditations, and public debates comprised the instruction. Ensuring that the learning environment was disciplined, harmonic, and morally controlled, the Vinaya Pitaka presented a thorough code of behaviour for both instructors and pupils.[14]

Grounded on the Buddhist monastic order, student discipline in Viharas focused on non-violence, honesty, mindfulness, and temperance. Newcomers—novices—went through initiation ceremonies and promised allegiance to the ten commandments, which included refrain from sensuous pleasures, useless discourse, and destructive behaviour. Routine of meditation, alms collecting, study, and introspection controlled their daily lives. Buddhist Viharas were inclusive, welcoming students from all walks of life—including women in later times—so democratising access to education unlike the caste-based limitations of Brahmanical education. In Buddhist traditions, this inclusive moral environment greatly helped to foster scholasticism and learning to blossom.[15]

A revolutionary milestone in determining the educational scene of India was the emergence of ancient Indian colleges, particularly Nalanda and Takshashila. In terms of their breadth, infrastructure, and academic goals, these establishments were much like modern universities. For more than 700 years, Nalanda, founded in the fifth century CE in what is now Bihar, was a top centre of learning in Asia. It had a large campus dotted with temples, classrooms, dorms, and a million-

manuscript library. Supported by governmental money and kind gifts from kings and private donors, Nalanda at its height attracted more than 10,000 students and 1,500 instructors. Nalanda offered a diverse, bilingual curriculum. Although Buddhist philosophy dominated, students may also explore disciplines like logic (Nyaya), grammar (Vyakarana), medicine (Ayurveda), mathematics, astronomy (Jyotisha), and Sanskrit literature. Scholars from all throughout Asia—China, Tibet, Sri Lanka, and South-east Asia—flocked to study under eminent lecturers. Using a combination of lectures, discussions, oral presentations, and written commentary, instruction took place in Sanskrit and Pali. Renowned intellectuals such Nagarjuna, Dharmapala, and Xuanzang—a Chinese visitor and student—became famous for their intellectual prowess and cross-cultural exchanges.

One had to negotiate a rigorous knowledge hierarchy and show experience to be hired as a teacher at Nalanda. Often engaged in public disputes to support their position, teachers were supposed to be acknowledged masters in their disciplines. Getting a teaching job called for both intellectual contributions and peer approbation. By means of academic councils and rigorous admission procedures evaluating a student's past knowledge, dedication, and ethical behaviour, the school maintained its integrity. Only the most committed and competent pupils were admitted after passage of admission tests conducted by instructors or senior monks. At Nalanda, communal rules tightly enforced discipline. Living in monastic quarters, students followed daily schedules including meditation, study, and group projects. The institution maintained standards of behaviour based on Buddhist values—respect for seniors, moderation in speech, personal cleanliness, and intellectual honesty. Breaking regulations could lead to further sanctions or expulsion, therefore strengthening a society of decency and seriousness. Though with religious origins, Nalanda was a centre of pluralistic learning where ideas from many schools—Buddhist, Hindu, Jain, even foreign—were accepted, discussed, and preserved. Rising from at least the sixth century BCE, Takshashila, in what is now Pakistan, predates Nalanda and is regarded as one of the first known colleges in the world. Unlike Nalanda, Takshashila was a network of independent teacher-led institutions where students searched for particular academics rather than a centralised university with a single campus. Among the many disciplines it taught were Vedas, logic, grammar, medicine, politics (Arthashastra), military science, and philosophy. Students included princes, diplomats, doctors, and traders; eminent professors such Chanakya (Kautlya), Panini, and Charaka taught there.[16]

Designed to equip students for positions in government, diplomacy, health, and jurisprudence, Takshashila's curriculum was pragmatic and multidisciplinary. The learning method was based on intense study, application, and frequently apprenticeship; education was customised to the selected field. Under the direction of a teacher-physician, medical students might, for instance, watch operations, prepare medications, and assist in patient care. At Takshashila, teachers were individual authority rather than state-appointed ones whose position derived on their scholarship, notoriety, and quantity of students. Students freely connected themselves with professors whose credentials matched their own learning objectives. This strategy promoted academic independence, variety of opinion, and dispersed excellence. Like at other colleges, student discipline was based on moral behaviour and self-control. Students kept integrity, lived simply, and dedicated themselves to the search of virtue and knowledge.[17]

The Role of Religion, Caste, and Gender in Shaping Access to Education in Ancient India

The educational scene in ancient India was influenced by a mix of sociocultural and religious factors that dictated who could learn, what they could learn, and how knowledge was shared. Unlike today's systems, which aim—though not always successfully—for inclusive and universal access to education, ancient Indian education was highly stratified, shaped by religious beliefs, caste systems, and gender roles. These social elements weren't just side notes; they were central to how education was structured and understood in ancient India. While the country gave rise to some of the earliest and most intellectually rich traditions of learning—like the Vedic system, Buddhist monastic universities, and Jain educational networks—these systems mirrored the social norms of their time. So, exploring the impact of religion, caste, and gender on education in ancient India not only highlights the diverse intellectual history but also uncovers the deep-rooted inequalities that influenced who had access to education and the ability to pursue it. Religion was a key player in shaping and spreading education in ancient India. During the Vedic period (around 1500–500 BCE), education was mainly focused on religious content and goals. Memorizing and interpreting the Vedas—the sacred texts of Hinduism—was seen as the pinnacle of knowledge (vidya), and access to this knowledge was tightly controlled by religious laws. The education provided in Gurukulas centered on reciting hymns, learning rituals, grasping metaphysical concepts, and developing moral discipline. This knowledge was viewed as sacred and life-changing, which is why only certain groups, primarily the male members of the so-called 'twice-born' castes (Brahmins, Kshatriyas, and Vaishyas), were considered worthy of receiving it.[18]

The Brahmins, being the priestly class, were the primary custodians and transmitters of sacred knowledge. They received intensive Vedic training in Gurukulas under the guidance of a guru, often beginning with the *upanayana* initiation ceremony, which symbolized their spiritual rebirth and eligibility for education. Religion thus did not merely influence curriculum—it defined educational rights. The notion that Vedic knowledge was too sacred for others to possess served as a powerful mechanism of religious exclusivity. Shudras (the lowest varna in the caste system) and women were explicitly denied access to the Vedas. Manusmriti, a key Dharmashastra text, lays out in harsh terms the punishments for Shudras who attempted to listen to or recite Vedic scriptures, thus reinforcing religious orthodoxy with social penalties. However, religious influence on education was not monolithic. With the rise of heterodox traditions like Buddhism and Jainism in the 6th century BCE, significant challenges emerged to Brahmanical dominance in education. Buddhism, founded by Siddhartha Gautama (the Buddha), rejected the authority of the Vedas and the rigid caste system. It promoted a more egalitarian and accessible educational framework, centered on ethical living, rational inquiry, and mental discipline. Buddhist monastic centers (Viharas) and later universities like Nalanda, Vikramashila, and Odantapuri became prominent hubs of intellectual activity, attracting students from across Asia.

Buddhist education, though monastic in nature, allowed for broader participation regardless of caste, and even women could become nuns and scholars, although they did face more restrictions than men. The Buddhist emphasis on logic, debate, and dialectics (particularly in Mahayana and Nalanda traditions) allowed students from diverse backgrounds to engage with knowledge as an intellectual and ethical pursuit, rather than a religious privilege. Jainism, similarly, promoted education as a means of spiritual purification and salvation. Jain monks and laypersons developed sophisticated schools of logic, mathematics, and metaphysics, often in Prakrit rather than Sanskrit,

making learning more accessible to the common populace. Thus, while religion often acted as a gatekeeper to education, certain religious movements within ancient India created new avenues for access and participation.[19]

Caste, perhaps more than any other social institution, had a far-reaching and deeply entrenched impact on educational access in ancient India. The caste system, based on the varna model and later ossified into the jati framework, stratified society into hierarchical categories: Brahmins (priests), Kshatriyas (warriors), Vaishyas (traders), and Shudras (servants). This hierarchy was not merely occupational but spiritual, moral, and educational. The Brahmins, as the intellectual elite, had almost exclusive access to sacred texts and were responsible for teaching, ritual performance, and scriptural interpretation. Education was seen as both their right and their duty. Kshatriyas and Vaishyas were allowed education, but with limitations in scope and content. Kshatriyas were trained in military strategy, statecraft, and aspects of the Vedas relevant to governance and warfare, while Vaishyas were taught business ethics, mathematics, and agricultural knowledge. However, Shudras were categorically excluded from formal education, especially from Vedic instruction. They were consigned to menial labor and could only acquire vocational skills through hereditary occupation. The educational deprivation of Shudras served to reinforce their subordinate status and prevent social mobility.

Particularly under the influence of Bhakti and Tantric groups, which questioned caste orthodoxy, certain lower castes did over time acquire practical knowledge and even religious teachings. Furthermore flourishing beyond the official educational institutions were folk customs and vernacular knowledge systems. Rich in their own right but devoid of institutional sanction and significance given to Sanskrit-based scholasticism, oral storytelling, local medicine, astrology, and crafts constituted alternate systems of learning. Still, the structural disparity of caste remained a major factor influencing not just who might be educated but also who would become a teacher, researcher, or thinker.[20]

Another major area of exclusion and control in ancient India was the gender character of educational access. Particularly under the Vedic-Brahmanical system, women—from higher castes included—had restricted access to official schooling. Although early Vedic writings describe learnt women as Gargi, Maitreyi, and Lopamudra participated in philosophical conversations and had access to Vedic knowledge, they were rare rather than usual occurrences. Women were more limited to home tasks and prohibited from pursuing especially holy study as Brahmanical patriarchy became stronger in the later Vedic and post-Vedic eras. Explicitly declaring that women should not recite the Vedas and that their main responsibilities were to serve their husbands and raise children, the Manusmriti and other Dharmashastras Women's education became an aberration in society when marriage, chastity, and domesticity took front stage above intellectual involvement. When women received education, it was mostly confined to music, dancing, and domestic skills—subjects seen fit for improving their roles as spouses and mothers. Still, the situation was more complex in Buddhist and Jain traditions. Buddhist nuns participated in meditation activities and created spiritual songs, including those of the Therigatha poet-theris. Though more limited in their contacts and movement, Jain nuns engaged in canonical study and commentary—especially in later mediaeval times.

Large universities like Nalanda, Takshashila, and Valabhi would help one to anticipate more access. Still, even these establishments mirrored the societal constraints of their day. Most of the

students were men, and entrance usually rested on past performance, family support, and religious connection. Still, these centres were extraordinary in their cross-cultural inclusiveness. For example, Nalanda welcomed academics and students from China, Korea, Tibet, Sri Lanka, and elsewhere, therefore fostering a cosmopolitan intellectual climate that partially transcended local caste and gender roles, while not totally eradicating them.

Language was a major factor in the way knowledge was transmitted in ancient India; it was both a tool of inclusion or exclusion and a medium of education. Considered the language of the gods, Sanskrit was the means of elite education, religious ceremonies, and intellectual debate. Its intricacy and uniqueness rendered it unreachable to the average person, therefore strengthening the advantages of the higher classes. By Buddhist and Jain teachers, Prakrits, Pali, and regional languages were used to democratise knowledge and enable more involvement from lower castes and laypeople. This language plurality opened parallel learning paths, therefore facilitating educational transfer outside of strict socioeconomic segregation. Over time, alternate and informal learning environments arose despite these obstacles. Knowledge was passed outside of official institutions via temple schools, devotional movements, family learning, and communal storytelling customs. Women kept oral traditions, songs, myths, and ceremonial knowledge with great cultural and educational value—especially in matrilineal or tribal civilisations. Likewise, by means of apprenticeships, artists, weavers, metalworkers, and farmers passed on sophisticated skill sets, thereby creating a great storehouse of scientific and practical knowledge.[21]

Early mediaeval and classical eras saw ongoing change in educational access. Rising from the 7th century forward, the Bhakti movement questioned Brahmanical authority and stressed personal devotion above ceremonial orthodoxy. Saints like as Kabir, Tukaram, Mirabai, and Basavanna questioned caste inequality and promoted the spiritual and moral ability of every human being, independent of birth. Though mostly religious, the Bhakti movement promoted literacy, encouraged vernacular writing, and spurred philosophical inquiry among underprivileged groups—including women and lower castes. Deeply anchored in philosophical inquiry, moral growth, and spiritual discipline, ancient India's educational institutions provide a rich heritage of teaching strategies, institutional models, and curricular goals still extremely relevant in the current period. Centred on inner transformation, self-knowledge, and ethical living, the ancient Indian approach to education offers an alternative vision that is not only historically significant but also pedagogically valuable in an age dominated by standardised testing, vocational pragmatism, and digital overload. Ancient Indian educational methods, with their focus on values, character development, and learner-specific supervision, their whole nature makes them especially relevant to the modern search of meaningful and sustainable education. This article investigates how, especially in the domains of values education, holistic development, and individualised learning, the educational ideas and methods of ancient India remain relevant in present educational settings.[22]

In the modern world, when employment and economic output define education more and more, there is rising awareness of the importance of including values education into the curriculum. Though they are fundamental to human growth and societal cohesiveness, values like empathy, honesty, respect, humility, self-discipline, and responsibility are often overlooked in exam-oriented, competitive educational systems. Ancient Indian education was based on dharma, a multifarious notion including morality, obligation, and appropriate behaviour. Whether at the Vedic Gurukulas,

Buddhist Viharas, or Jain centres of study, the development of ethical behaviour was seen as equally, if not more, important than intellectual superiority. The guru-shishya tradition was a shining example of how moral education may coexist with intellectual study. The instructor, often known as guru, was a moral adviser and spiritual model as well as a knowledge dispenser. Reflecting a great concentration on self-control and inner purity, students were expected to exemplify qualities like humility (vinaya), honesty (satya), non-violence (ahimsa), and celibacy (brahmacharya). This values-based approach produced a community of students anchored morally and socially conscious in addition to being academically gifted. Today, this paradigm may motivate teachers and legislators to include character education into every topic and activity and go beyond surface "moral science" courses. Methods that mirror the ideas of ancient Indian pedagogy—service-learning, reflective writing, group discussions, mindfulness practices, and ethical case studies—can help to foster values.[23]

Furthermore directly relevant to today's issues about youth mental health, violence in schools, and moral uncertainty are ancient Indian writings including the Bhagavad Gita, the Upanishads, the Dhammapada, and the Tattvartha Sutra, which offer great insights on emotional control, responsibility, resilience, and social conscience. Including these ideas—not as religious doctrine but rather as philosophical literature—into school courses will equip kids with intellectual skills for ethical thinking and emotional fortitude. Emphasising svadharma—one's own obligation—helps students to consider their particular strengths and obligations, therefore guiding their sense of ethical clarity and purpose. Another important area where ancient Indian education shows shockingly modern relevance is in its attitude to overall development. Often treating students as passive consumers of knowledge, the current educational paradigm defines success in terms of academic marks and career placements, therefore limiting their options. By contrast, the ancient Indian perspective saw education as a means of waking the whole person—body, mind, intellect, and soul. The word vidya (true knowledge) was considered not just as facts or data but also as that which results in liberation (moksha) and self-realization.[24]

In the Vedic tradition, holistic development was pursued through a balanced curriculum that included physical training (archery, wrestling, yoga), mental training (logic, grammar, mathematics), moral education (epics and parables), and spiritual disciplines (meditation, rituals, self-inquiry). The *ashrama* system (brahmacharya, grihastha, vanaprastha, and sannyasa) structured life itself as a gradual journey of development through learning, responsibility, withdrawal, and renunciation. Education prepared individuals not just to perform professional roles but to live meaningfully in all stages of life. Similarly, in Buddhist and Jain traditions, education involved the cultivation of compassion, mindfulness, concentration, and renunciation—qualities that shaped both inner life and social behavior.

Today's educators, faced with rising anxiety, social fragmentation, and purposeless learning, can draw upon this model to reframe education as a transformative and integrative journey. Incorporating arts, physical education, meditation, yoga, and community service into mainstream schooling is no longer a luxury but a necessity. Global initiatives such as Social and Emotional Learning (SEL), mindfulness in education, and the UNESCO Whole School Approach echo the ancient Indian ideal of educating the "whole child." Practices like *pranayama* (breath control), *dhyana* (meditation), and ethical reflection can be reintroduced into schools and colleges to promote emotional well-being, attention control, and ethical insight.

Importantly, the ancient Indian model of education was learner-centered and personalized, long before such concepts became fashionable in modern pedagogical discourse. The *guru-shishya* model allowed for individualized instruction tailored to the student's temperament (*guna*), stage of development, and life goals. Education was not uniform or mechanical but adapted to the learner's specific needs and abilities. In contrast to today's mass schooling systems, where standardization often overrides personalization, the ancient system valued difference and diversity.[25] For instance, in a Gurukula, a student inclined toward philosophical speculation might be guided through the *Upanishads* and metaphysical inquiry, while another interested in governance would study the *Arthashastra* and statecraft. A musically gifted student could train in the *Gandharva Veda*, while an aspiring healer would study the *Charaka Samhita* and Ayurveda. Such flexibility allowed education to resonate with the student's identity and aspirations. It was education in the truest sense of the word—*educare*, to draw out from within.

Contemporary education systems, driven by ranking mechanisms and standardized curricula, often fail to accommodate individual learning styles, aptitudes, or interests. Students are expected to fit into rigid molds rather than be guided toward their potential. However, with the emergence of learner-centered models such as Montessori, Waldorf, and project-based learning, there is a renewed interest in personalized education. The ancient Indian tradition offers a robust philosophical foundation for such approaches. Concepts like *adhikara* (individual eligibility), *svabhava* (inherent nature), and *karma-yoga* (duty-based action) can guide the development of flexible, student-responsive pedagogies. Mentorship, apprenticeship, and real-life learning—hallmarks of ancient Indian education—can be revitalized to ensure that learning is relevant, responsive, and empowering.[26]

It is also worth noting that ancient Indian education was not devoid of scientific and empirical inquiry. Far from being mystical or dogmatic, the tradition nurtured critical thinking and rational debate, particularly in the Nyaya, Vaisheshika, and Buddhist schools. The culture of *shastrarth* (scriptural debate) and *tarka* (logic) sharpened analytical reasoning and fostered intellectual pluralism. Monastic universities like Nalanda and Takshashila became world-renowned centers of learning, where disciplines such as grammar, astronomy, medicine, mathematics, and linguistics were rigorously studied and debated. This legacy is relevant for modern STEM education, which needs to be balanced with critical reasoning, ethical reflection, and cross-disciplinary integration. Nevertheless, any attempt to reintroduce ancient Indian educational practices into modern systems must be done with historical sensitivity and ethical care. The ancient system, for all its strengths, was also marred by exclusivity, caste hierarchies, and gender biases. Access to Vedic education was restricted to upper-caste males, while women and lower castes were often denied formal learning opportunities. While Buddhist and Jain systems were more inclusive, systemic inequalities persisted. Therefore, drawing from the ancient tradition must not mean romanticizing or replicating its injustices but rather recovering its spirit of inquiry, its reverence for learning, and its commitment to inner growth—while ensuring universal access and social equity.

CONCLUSION

Modern India has already seen such integration in practice. Institutions like the Krishnamurti Foundation schools, Sri Aurobindo Ashram, Ramakrishna Mission, and Gandhian Nai Talim represent efforts to bridge ancient wisdom with contemporary pedagogy. These schools emphasize

experiential learning, moral development, self-discipline, and holistic growth—values drawn directly from Indian philosophical traditions. Even globally, educational thinkers like Howard Gardner, Parker Palmer, and the Dalai Lama have recognized the need for spiritual and ethical dimensions in education, echoing the principles long upheld in Indian thought. In the digital age, where knowledge is abundant but wisdom is scarce, the ancient Indian view that education is fundamentally about self-mastery and ethical awakening offers a timely corrective. As artificial intelligence, automation, and virtual realities reshape the world of work and learning, the need to cultivate human-centered values, emotional intelligence, and contemplative depth becomes more urgent. Ancient Indian education reminds us that learning is not just a tool for survival or success—it is a sacred journey of becoming.

REFERENCES

1. N. Mishra and P. S. Aithal, "Ancient Indian Education: Its Relevance and Importance in the Modern Education System," *Case Studies in Business, IT, and Education*, 2023.

2. P. Selvamani, "Gurukul System—An Ancient Educational System of India," *International Journal of Applied Social Sciences*, vol. 6, no. 2, 2019, pp. 45–59.

3. A. S. Altekar, *Education in Ancient India*, Motilal Banarsidass Publishers, 2009.

4. U. Yadav, "A Comparative Study of Ancient & Present Education System," *Education, Sustainability and Society*, vol. 2, no. 1, 2018, pp. 10–22.

5. H. Scharfe, *Education in Ancient India*, Routledge, 2018.

6. C. Sethi, "Indian Education System in Ancient India," *Research Journal of Management, Sociology & Education*, vol. 3, no. 1, 2015, pp. 75–84.

7. S. K. Choudhary, "Higher Education in India: A Socio-Historical Journey from Ancient Period to 2006-07," *The Journal of Educational Enquiry*, vol. 8, no. 1, 2008, pp. 21–38.

8. M. M. Ghonge, R. Bag, and A. Singh, "Indian Education: Ancient, Medieval and Modern," in *Education at the Intersection of Global and Local*, IntechOpen, 2020, pp. 102–118.

9. I. Batarelo Kokić and T. Kokić, "Education in Ancient India as a Possible Inspiration for the Future," *Nova Prisutnost: Casopis za Intelektualna i Kulturna Pitanja*, vol. 22, no. 2, 2024, pp. 143–158.

10. A. S. Altekar, "Ideals, Merits and Defects of Ancient Indian Educational System," *Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute*, vol. 14, no. 1, 1933, pp. 21–35.

11. S. Goyal and P. Aggarwal, "Indian Education System: From Ancient Period to Present Era," unpublished paper, 2015.

12. M. Kumar, "Study of Past and Present Education System in India," *International Journal of Physical and Social Sciences*, vol. 2, no. 6, 2012, pp. 98–112.

13. I. B. Kokić and T. Kokić, "Education in Ancient India as a Possible Inspiration for the Future," 2024.

14. D. Barman, "Ancient Indian Education System," *Melamora College Publication*, 2020.

15. T. Khatoon, "Evolution of Indian Education System from the Ancient Period to Modern Time," *Education at the Crossroads*, 2022.

16. S. Parida and H. N. Akash, "A Transition from the Ancient Education System to the Present Modern Approach—The Better of the Ancient," *Vedasamskrita Academy Special Edition*, 2023.

17. M. Mehra, "The Evolution of Education Systems in Ancient India: A Historical Perspective," *Matagujri College Research Journal*, 2021.

18. N. S. Ghosh, "Ideology of Education in Ancient and Present Society of India—A Study Report," *Bus Eco Journal*, vol. 8, no. 4, 2016, pp. 50–67.

19. R. Biswas, "Exploring Indigenous Knowledge Systems of Education in Ancient India: A Comprehensive Study," in *New Trends of Teaching, Learning and Education*, 2024, pp. 77–89.

20. F. E. Keay, *Ancient Indian Education: An Inquiry into Its Origin, Development, and Ideals*, Oxford University Press, 1918.

21. S. Goyal and P. Aggarwal, "Indian Education System: From Ancient Period to Present Era," unpublished paper, 2015.

22. M. Kumar, "Study of Past and Present Education System in India," *International Journal of Physical and Social Sciences*, vol. 2, no. 6, 2012, pp. 98–112.

23. I. B. Kokić and T. Kokić, "Education in Ancient India as a Possible Inspiration for the Future," 2024.

24. D. Barman, "Ancient Indian Education System," *Melamora College Publication*, 2020.

25. T. Khatoon, "Evolution of Indian Education System from the Ancient Period to Modern Time," *Education at the Crossroads*, 2022.

26. S. Parida and H. N. Akash, "A Transition from the Ancient Education System to the Present Modern Approach—The Better of the Ancient," *Vedasamskrita Academy Special Edition*, 2023.